

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/942,264	10/01/97	BOUCHER	G	E-679

LM11/0719 ROBERT E MEYER ' PITNEY BOWES INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND TECHNOLOGY LAW DEPARTMENT 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE PO BOX 3000 SHELTON CT 06484

EXAMINER PARDO, T PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2771 DATE MAILED: 07/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/942,264

Applicant(s)

Boucher et al.

Examiner

Thuy Pardo

Group Art Unit 2771



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on IDS filed on 1/26	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fin accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	·
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗀 approved 🗀 disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
·	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note That is not the content of the c	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prio	
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)02
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOULOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2771

1. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

2. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to the U.S. Application Serial Number 08/942,265 entitled "Installing Software Based on a Profile", the U.S. Application Serial Number 08/942,209 entitled "Carrier Manager Interface Utilizing an OCX Control", U.S. Patent Application 08/942,263 entitled "A method and System for Accessing Carrier Data), U.S. Application 08/942,262 entitled "A method and System of Implementing a Carrier Manager Librarian", U.S. Patent Application 08/942,260 entitled "A method and System of Implementing a Carrier Manager Registry", and the U.S. Patent Application 08/942,261 entitled

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

"Event Interface for a Carrier Manager System" is improper because these information would be

disclosed to the public if this application is in a condition of allowance.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Carroll et al. (Carroll) patent no. 5,293,310.

Art Unit: 2771

5. As to claim 6, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

- (a) a client data processing system [10 of fig 1; fig. 6];
- (b) a host data processing system [12 of fig. 1; 30 of fig. 6];
- (c) a data access system for storing and managing a plurality of object files [data center 14 of fig.1; col. 7, lines 2-18, 47-62, storing and managing a plurality of object files, fig. 3-5];
- (d) communication means for linking the client data processing system with the host data processing system [see the communication link between the carrier 1 and the user 1 through data center 14 of fig. 1].
- (e) first memory means for storing the plurality of object files [customized configuration database, 42 of fig. 9; col. 10, lines 26-50] and second memory means for predetermined set of object files [discounts database and surcharges database, 44 and 46 of fig. 9; col. 10, lines 26-50].
- 6. As to claim 1, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising the steps of:
- (a) initiating a communication link between the client data processing system and the host data processing system [a communication link between users 10 and data center 14, col. 5, lines 20-32];
- (b) uploading a set of object tables from the client data processing system to the host data processing system [col. 6, lines 57-59];
- (c) reading the set of object tables at the host data processing system [read input file, 210 of fig. 13; col. 6, lines 65-68];

Art Unit: 2771

(d) determining which data objects in the set of object tables is to be updated [load update

220 of fig. 13] and further determining which data objects in the set of object tables is to be

maintained [reject update 224 of fig. 13];

(e) constructing a new set of object tables from the host data processing system to the

client data processing system [222 of fig. 13];

(f) transmitting the new set of object tables from the host data processing system to the

client data processing system [update format to be sent to the user station 10, col. 7, lines 2-24];

(g) verifying accurate receipt of the new set of object tables at the client data processing

system [check to ensure the update information, col. 7, lines 21-24]; and

(h) restarting the client data processing system [user 10 will load the update information

into the system, col. 7, lines 19-21].

7. As to claim 4, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Carroll further teaches

LAN comprising a plurality of nodes, wherein each node is linked to at least one other node within

the LAN and data is exchanged between each node and at least one other node [a plurality of carrier

nodes and user nodes, fig. 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 4

Art Unit: 2771

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 2-3, 5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over **Carroll** et al. (Carroll) patent no. 5,293,310.
- 9. As to claim 2, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Carroll further teaches
- (a) saving a data map of a new set of object tables at host data processing system [420 and 422 of fig. 16; col. 17, lines 35-38];
- (b) receiving and saving the new set of object tables within a memory of the client data processing system [col. 7, lines 19-21]; and
- (d) comparing the second data map with the first data map [compare data entered through an input device with information stored in memory, ab], and if the first data map and the second data map do not match, then nullifying the verification [col. 7, lines 21-30].

Carroll does not explicitly teach retransmitting the new set of object tables as a second data map back to the host data processing system. However, this feature is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art to put this feature into the system in order to continue to update the new set of object tables within the data access system.

Art Unit: 2771

10. As to claim 3, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed, with the exception of

Page 6

the communication link initiated by requesting the link through a modem. However, this feature is

well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art

to apply this feature into the system in order to enable transmitting the information from the carrier

in digital form to the computer.

11. As to claim 5, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed, with the exception of the

communication link activated within a set of protocols common to the communication net. However,

this feature is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data

Processing art to apply this feature into the system because a set of rules standard designed

(protocols) would enable computers to connect with one another and to exchange information with

as little error as possible.

12. As to claims 7-8, Carroll teaches the invention substantially as claimed, with the exception of

the DAS collocated with the client data processing system or the host data processing system.

However, this is a matter of designed choices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the Data Processing art to design the DAS collocated with the client data processing system or the

host data processing system because it would suit the client or host systems' convenience and save

processing time of updating data objects.

Art Unit: 2771

13. Further references of interest are cited on Form PTO-892 which is an attachment to this office

Page 7

action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1091. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Thomas G. Black, can be reached at (703) 305-9707. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)

305-9731.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed

to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

15. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2771

Page 8

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuy Pardo January 6, 1999. WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER